UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,651	01/26/2004	Ramin Shahidi	Shahidi-001B	8813	
· STATTLER 10	7590 01/24/200 OHANSEN & ADELI 1	EXAMINER			
1875 Century I	Park East Suite 1050	JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J			
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3768		
			· •	·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS -	01/24/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_	
11.	
Lu.	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/764,651	SHAHIDI, RAMIN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Jaworski Francis J.	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	ovember 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/5,2/13/6,7/13/6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,651

Art Unit: 3768

, - ¹

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 5 and 11 – 13 remain in this case for examination pursuant to the response election in the reply filed on November 20, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cosman (US6006126) in view of Acker (US6580938), alone or further in view of Cosman (US6675040).

Cosman '126 teaches a method for guiding an instrument to a patient target site including

Capturing an intra-operative ultrasound image,

Coordinating via markers an internal structure viewed by the ultrasound image to the physical space coordinate system i.e. external to any reference within the image, using cameras and markers to also reference an operative instrument to the physical space, and in which a processor determines coordinates and positions for the instrument and target location in the reference system, and displaying from the perspective of the instrument in the reference coordinate system per col. 9 discussion.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,651

Art Unit: 3768

While Cosman manipulates a line of sight in relation to display of the surgical

instrument, it would have been obvious in view of Acker that in order to further assist

the physician, a displayed view of the instrument 26' may be accompanied by a view of

the target line 66 to target T, the target line being representable by an arrow.such an

indicia set such as including line, arrow tip and/or fletching stylizations would constitute

a set of indicia not representing target geometry.

Whereas Cosman '126 suggests that view orientations may be selected, it would

have been further obvious in view of the latter Cosman that by mounting and tracking

optics on the surgeon's head the anatomic views can be registered with a source of

video as part of the surgical microscopy instrumentation and therefore the display may

represent the field seen by this device as a view of the patient and target site.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski

Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

011207

rancis J. Jaworski

Page 3

Primary Examiner